

ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

**The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag
& The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das**

Case No – MA 107 OF 2019 [TA 1053 of 1997]

Ashoke Guchhait & Others Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
06 18.07.2019	<p>For the Applicants : Mr. M.N. Roy, Mr. G. Halder, Learned Advocates.</p> <p>For the Respondent : Mrs. S. Agarwal, Learned Advocate.</p> <p>The applicants have prayed for impleading them as co-applicants in TA 1053 of 1997 on the ground that they are entitled to the pay scale to which the petitioners of TA 1053 of 1997 are also entitled.</p> <p>Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the applicants today is kept on record.</p> <p>Mr. M.N. Roy, Learned Counsel for the applicants, submits that the applicants are entitled to get pay scale of Agravamis under Civil Defence in terms of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in C.O. No. 2257 of 1989. He further submits that the applicants are entitled to get the same pay scale to which the petitioners of TA 1053 of 1997 are also entitled and as such they are entitled to be impleaded as co-applicants in TA 1053 of 1997.</p> <p>We have heard Learned Counsel representing the state respondents.</p>	

ORDER SHEET

Ashoke Guchhait & Others

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. **MA 107 OF 2019 [TA 1053 of 1997]**

On consideration of the facts of TA 1053 of 1997 and the facts of the present Miscellaneous Application, we do not find that the applicants of Miscellaneous Application have any interest in TA 1053 of 1997. Nor can we persuade ourselves to hold that the applicants of Miscellaneous Application are necessary parties in TA 1053 of 1997. We are unable to accept the contention made on behalf of the applicants that they have a right to be impleaded as co-applicants in TA 1053 of 1997 only because they are entitled to get pay scale to which the petitioners of TA 1053 of 1997 are also entitled.

In view of our above findings, we do not find any merit in the present Miscellaneous Application. The Miscellaneous Application is, thus, **dismissed**.

(S.K. DAS)
MEMBER(A)

(R. K. BAG)
MEMBER (J)

Sanjib